



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,000	01/31/2001	Christian Huitema	APP 1257-US	4364
9941	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	IA TECHNOLOGIES	JACOBS, LASHONDA T		
	ORDIA DRIVE 5G116 'AY, NJ 08854-4157		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2157	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/775,000	HUITEMA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		LaShonda T. Jacobs	2157		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Janu	uary 11, 2006.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims		÷		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,6-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,6-8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
.—	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.		
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication Noeceived in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
.1) 🔀 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)		
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/775,000

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' Amendment filed on January 11, 2006. Claims 1, 6-8 and 10-11 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Raschke et al (hereinafter, "Raschke", U.S. Pat. No. 6.653,933).

As per claim 1, Raschke teaches a global appliance network system, comprising:

- a local smart appliance network, including at least one smart appliance, said smart
 appliance having an actual network address and a general unique address that can map
 to the actual network address (col. 1, lines 17-46 and col. 4, lines 41-61; Raschke
 teaches an improvement to the conventional smart appliance network);
- a global network agent, including a global server, said global server communicating
 with said smart appliance using a general addressing scheme identifying in a single
 message both said smart appliance network by said general unique global network

Application/Control Number: 09/775,000

address and the instruction to be performed on said smart appliance (col. 1, lines 17-46, col. 4, lines 41-61 col. 5, lines 16-25 and col. 14, lines 47-60);

- a communication network interconnecting said local smart appliance network and said global network agent (col. 1, lines 17-46, col. 3, lines 57-67, col. 4, lines 1-21 and col. 14, lines 47-60); and
- wherein said general addressing scheme routes the single message from the global server through the communication network to the smart appliance based on the general unique global network address (col. 1, lines 17-46, col. 3, lines 57-67, col. 4, lines 1-21 and col. 14, lines 47-60).
- 3. Claims **6**, **8** and **10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raschke in view of Sollee et al (hereinafter, "Sollee", 6,757,732).

As per claim 8, Raschke teaches a one-step location method for remotely operating a smart appliance in a local smart appliance network from a global agent in a global network, said method comprising the steps of:

- the global agent formulating a one-step message that includes the general global address of the local smart appliance network and the action to be taken by the smart appliance (col. 1, lines 17-46, col. 4, lines 41-61 col. 5, lines 16-25 and col. 14, lines 47-60);
- transmitting the one-step message over a communication network to the local smart appliance network (col. 1, lines 17-46, col. 4, lines 41-61 col. 5, lines 16-25 and col. 14, lines 47-60);

lines 47-60).

• unpacking the transmitted one-step message and executing the action to be taken by the smart appliance (col. 1, lines 17-46, col. 4, lines 41-61 col. 5, lines 16-25 and col. 14,

However, Raschke does not explicitly teach:

• if between the global network and the local smart appliance network there is a firewall, determining that the global agent is permitted to traverse the firewall.

Sollee discloses a text-based communications over a data network including:

• if between the global network and the local smart appliance network there is a firewall, determining that the global agent is permitted to traverse the firewall (col. 1, lines 62-67, col. 3, lines 12-21, col. 5, lines 27-4, lines 56-67 and col. 10, lines 46-54).

Given the teaching of Sollee, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to modify Raschke to include a firewall system to prevent and block unauthorized users from accessing private networks in order to provide a secure network.

As per claims 6 and 10, Raschke teaches the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Raschke does not explicitly teach:

• a modified version of the Session Initiation protocol.

Sollee discloses a text-based communications over a data network including:

• a modified version of the Session Initiation protocol (col. 4, lines 66-67, col. 5, lines 1-8, lines 27-43 and col. 6, lines 44-50,).

Given the teaching of Sollee, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Raschke by including a SIP system to initiate call

sessions between devices allowing the devices to exchange information and communicate effectively over the network.

4. Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raschke in view of Sollee and in further view of Martin.

As per claim 7, Raschke in view of Sollee discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Raschke in view of Sollee does not explicitly teach:

• Lightweight Directory Access Protocol.

Martin discloses a server-client communication over a network including:

• Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (col. 2, lines 4-10, col. 4, lines 33-58, col. 5, lines 16-29, lines 65-67 and col. 6, lines 1-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Raschke in view of Sollee with Martin to incorporate a Lightweight Directory Access Protocol in order to send and receive messages to smart appliances over a network in a timely and efficient manner.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-8 and 10-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T. Jacobs whose telephone number is 571-272-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaShonda T Jacobs Examiner Art Unit 2157

ltj April 1, 2006

ABDULTAN SALAD PRIMARY EXAMINER